

to requirements of Federal law or to changes that a State has made in its eligibility criteria in comparison to its criteria in effect in FY 1995.

(c)(1)(i) To establish the caseload base for fiscal year 1995, we will use the number of AFDC cases and Unemployed Parent cases reported on ACF-3637, Statistical Report on Recipients under Public Assistance.

(ii) We will automatically adjust the Unemployed Parent caseload proportionally upward, based on the percentage of cases with two parents in the household, as shown in Quality Control data for the period prior to the State's reporting two-parent data under TANF.

(2) To determine the prior-year caseload for subsequent years, we will use caseload information from the TANF Data Report and the SSP-MOE Data Report.

(3) To qualify for a caseload reduction, a State must have reported monthly caseload information, including cases in separate State programs, for the preceding fiscal year for cases receiving assistance as defined at § 261.43.

(d)(1) A State may correct erroneous data or submit accurate data to adjust IV-A program data or to include unduplicated cases. For example, a State may submit accurate data for Emergency Assistance cases and two-parent cases outside the Unemployed Parent program.

(2) A State may submit data to adjust the caseload for FY 1999 and thereafter to include two-parent or other State program cases covered by Federal TANF or State MOE expenditures, but not otherwise reported.

(3) We will adjust both the FY 1995 baseline and the caseload information for subsequent years, as appropriate, based on these State submissions.

(e) We refer to the number of percentage points by which a caseload falls, disregarding the cases described in paragraph (b), as a caseload reduction credit.

§ 261.41 How will we determine the caseload reduction credit?

(a)(1) We will determine the total and two-parent caseload reduction credits that apply to each State based on the

information and estimates reported to us by the State on eligibility policy changes, application denials, and case closures.

(2) We will accept the information and estimates provided by a State, unless they are implausible based on the criteria listed in paragraph (d) of this section.

(3) We may conduct on-site reviews and inspect administrative records on applications and terminations to validate the accuracy of the State estimates.

(b) In order to receive a caseload reduction credit, a State must submit a Caseload Reduction Report to us containing the following information:

(1) A listing of, and implementation dates for, all State and Federal eligibility changes, as defined at § 261.42, made by the State since the beginning of FY 1995;

(2) A numerical estimate of the positive or negative impact on the applicable caseload of each eligibility change (based, as appropriate, on application denials, case closures or other analyses);

(3) An overall estimate of the total net positive or negative impact on the applicable caseload as a result of all such eligibility changes;

(4) An estimate of the State's caseload reduction credit;

(5) The number of application denials and case closures for fiscal year 1995 and the prior fiscal year;

(6) The distribution of such denials and case closures, by reason, for fiscal year 1995 and the prior fiscal year;

(7) A description of the methodology and the supporting data that it used to calculate its caseload reduction estimates;

(8) A certification that it has provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology, considered their comments, and incorporated all net reductions resulting from Federal and State eligibility changes; and

(9) A summary of all public comments.

(c) A State requesting a caseload reduction credit for both rates must provide separate estimates and information for the two-parent credit if it wishes to base the caseload reduction

credit for the two-parent rate on reductions in the two-parent caseload.

(1) The State must base its estimates of the impact of eligibility changes for the overall participation rate on decreases in its overall caseload compared to the FY 1995 overall caseload baseline established in accordance with § 261.40(d).

(2) The State must base its estimates of the impact of eligibility changes for two-parent cases on decreases in its two-parent caseload compared to the FY 1995 two-parent caseload baseline established in accordance with § 261.40(d).

(d)(1) For each State, we will assess the adequacy of information and estimates using the following criteria: its methodology; its estimates of impact compared to other States; the quality of its data; and the completeness and adequacy of its documentation.

(2) If we request additional information to develop or validate estimates, the State may negotiate an appropriate deadline or provide the information within 30 days of the date of our request.

(3) The State must provide sufficient data to document the information submitted under paragraph (b) of this section.

(e) We will not calculate a caseload reduction credit unless the State reports case-record data on individuals and families served by any separate State program, as required under § 265.3(d) of this chapter.

(f) A State may only apply to its participation rate a caseload reduction credit that we have calculated. If a State disagrees with the caseload reduction credit, it may appeal the decision as an adverse action in accordance with § 262.7 of this chapter.

§ 261.42 Which reductions count in determining the caseload reduction credit?

(a)(1) A State's caseload reduction estimate must not include net caseload decreases (i.e., caseload decreases offset by increases) due to Federal requirements or State changes in eligibility rules since FY 1995 that directly affect a family's eligibility for assistance. These include more stringent income and resource limitations, time

limits, full family sanctions, and other new requirements that deny families assistance when an individual does not comply with work requirements, cooperate with child support, or fulfill other behavioral requirements.

(2) A State may count the reductions attributable to enforcement mechanisms or procedural requirements that are used to enforce existing eligibility criteria (e.g., fingerprinting or other verification techniques) to the extent that such mechanisms or requirements identify or deter families otherwise ineligible under existing rules.

(b) A State must include cases receiving assistance in separate State programs as part of its prior-year caseload. However, if a State provides documentation that separate State program cases meet the following conditions, we will exclude them from the caseload count:

(1) The cases overlap with, or duplicate, cases in the TANF caseload; or

(2) They are cases made ineligible for Federal benefits by Pub. L. 104-193 that are receiving only State-funded cash assistance, nutrition assistance, or other benefits.

§ 261.43 What is the definition of a "case receiving assistance" in calculating the caseload reduction credit?

(a)(1) The caseload reduction credit is based on decreases in caseloads receiving assistance (other than those excluded pursuant to § 261.42) both in a State's TANF program and in separate State programs that address basic needs and are used to meet the maintenance-of-effort requirement.

(2) A State that is investing State MOE funds in eligible families in excess of the required 80 percent or 75 percent basic MOE amount need only include the pro rata share of caseloads receiving assistance that are required to meet basic MOE requirements.

(b)(1) Depending on a State's TANF implementation date, for fiscal years 1995, 1996 and 1997, we will use adjusted baseline caseload data as established in accordance with § 261.40(d).

(2) For subsequent fiscal years, we will determine the caseload based on